

Message

From: Mataka, Arsenio@EPA [Arsenio.Mataka@calepa.ca.gov]
Sent: 7/24/2015 7:31:53 PM
To: Manzanilla, Enrique [Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov]
CC: Cope, Grant@EPA [Grant.Cope@calepa.ca.gov]; Mascarenas, Ana@DTSC [Ana.Mascarenas@dtsc.ca.gov]; Tooker, Joshua@DTSC [Joshua.Tooker@dtsc.ca.gov]; Scott, Jeff [Scott.Jeff@epa.gov]; Lyons, John [Lyons.John@epa.gov]; Huetteman, Tom [Huetteman.Tom@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Question ag park

Got it.

Sent via the Samsung GALAXY S® 5, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: "Manzanilla, Enrique" <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>
Date: 07/24/2015 12:24 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: "Mataka, Arsenio@EPA" <Arsenio.Mataka@calepa.ca.gov>
Cc: "Cope, Grant@EPA" <Grant.Cope@calepa.ca.gov>, "Mascarenas, Ana@DTSC" <Ana.Mascarenas@dtsc.ca.gov>, "Tooker, Joshua@DTSC" <Joshua.Tooker@dtsc.ca.gov>, "Scott, Jeff" <Scott.Jeff@epa.gov>, "Lyons, John" <Lyons.John@epa.gov>, "Huetteman, Tom" <Huetteman.Tom@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Question ag park

Here's what we would recommend:

Delete the "two weeks" timeframe and merely say that "We are working with EPA on a concurrent review of the site and to identify any appropriate confirmation sampling at the site."

We can discuss review timeframes next week after we here in EPA can deliberate on how to conduct the review that Barbara has requested.

Enrique

From: Mataka, Arsenio@EPA [mailto:Arsenio.Mataka@calepa.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, July 24, 2015 11:49 AM
To: Manzanilla, Enrique
Cc: Cope, Grant@EPA; Mascarenas, Ana@DTSC; Tooker, Joshua@DTSC
Subject: Question ag park
Importance: High

We are expecting an inquiry from local press on our meeting with the city of riverside today. Can we say the following:

1. We are working with epa on concurrent review of the site.
2. Over the next two weeks we will be working epa staff to identify any appropriate confirmation sampling at the site.

We need to get back to city soon on this.

Can you confirm?

Sent via the Samsung GALAXY S® 5, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone